Table 1A: New Mexico State University Faculty by Category, Fall 2002

| Faculty Category | | All NMS | \mathbf{SU}^1 | STEM and SBS Departments | | | | |
|---|-----|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | All | Female | %Female | All | Female | %Female | | |
| Tenure/Tenure Track | 546 | 170 | 31.1% | 283 | 62 | 21.9% | | |
| Temporary/Non-tenure Track ² | 102 | 58 | 56.9% | 39 | 21 | 53.8% | | |
| Total | 648 | 228 | 35.2% | 322 | 83 | 25.7% | | |

Notes: ¹Includes library faculty but excludes cooperative extension service.

²Temporary/Non-Tenure Track are also referred to as "Noncontract" or as "College Track faculty. Here are only those faculty who are full time in positions that are relatively permanent have been included.

Table 1B: New Mexico State University Faculty by Category, Fall 2002

| | | ial and Be ence Depa | | ADVANCE (STEM) Departments | | | |
|----------------------|-----|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--------|---------|--|
| Faculty Category | All | Female | %Female | All | Female | %Female | |
| Tenure/Tenure Track | 51 | 21 | 41.2% | 232 | 41 | 17.7 % | |
| Temporary/Non-tenure | 8 | 2 | 25.0% | 31 | 19 | 61.3 % | |
| Track | | | | | | | |
| Total | 59 | 23 | 39.0% | 263 | 60 | 22.8% | |

Table 2: Distribution of NMSU STEM Faculty by Category and Gender, Fall Semesters 1995 - 2002

| | Tenure/Tenure Track | | No | n-Tenur | e Track | All Categories | | | |
|------|---------------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|----------------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Female | % Female | Total | Female | % Female | Total | Female | % Female |
| 1995 | 251 | 34 | 13.5% | 35 | 15 | 42.8% | 286 | 49 | 6.6% |
| 1996 | 246 | 33 | 13.4% | 31 | 15 | 48.4% | 277 | 48 | 17.3% |
| 1997 | 250 | 40 | 16.0% | 31 | 17 | 54.8% | 281 | 57 | 20.3% |
| 1998 | 247 | 41 | 16.6% | 36 | 18 | 50.0% | 283 | 59 | 20.8% |
| 1999 | 240 | 42 | 17.5% | 27 | 16 | 59.3% | 267 | 58 | 21.7% |
| 2000 | 231 | 40 | 17.3% | 32 | 22 | 68.7% | 263 | 62 | 23.6% |
| 2001 | 233 | 37 | 15.8% | 30 | 18 | 60.0% | 263 | 55 | 20.9% |
| 2002 | 232 | 41 | 17.6% | 39 | 19 | 48.7% | 271 | 60 | 22.1% |

Table 3A: Fall 2002 STEM Departmental Distribution of Tenured and Tenure Track Female Faculty

| | Distribution | of Female Fact Departments | ulty in STEM |
|--|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | All | Female | %Female |
| Agriculture and Home Economics | 57 | 15 | 26.3% |
| Agronomy and Horticulture | 16 | 3 | 18.8% |
| Animal and Range Science | 17 | 1 | 5.9% |
| Entomology, Plant Pathology and Weed Science | 10 | 3 | 30.0% |
| Family and Consumer Science | 8 | 7 | 87.5% |
| Fishery and Wildlife Sciences | 6 | 1 | 16.7% |
| Arts and Sciences | 101 | 19 | 18.8% |
| Astronomy | 7 | 1 | 14.3% |
| Biology | 18 | 4 | 22.2% |
| Chemistry and Biochemistry | 18 | 1 | 5.6% |
| Computer Sciences | 11 | 2 | 18.2% |
| Geological Sciences | 6 | 2 | 33.3% |
| Mathematical Sciences | 27 | 8 | 29.6% |
| Physics | 14 | 1 | 7.1% |
| Engineering | 74 | 6 | 9.4% |
| Electrical and Computer Engineering | 20 | 1 | 5.0% |
| Chemical Engineering | 6 | 1 | 16.7% |
| Civil and Geological Engineering | 14 | 2 | 14.3% |
| Engineering Technology | 12 | 2 | 16.7% |
| Industrial Engineering | 6 | 1 | 16.7% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 13 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Survey Engineering | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |

Table 3BA: Fall 2002 STEM Departmental Distribution of Non-Tenure Track Female Faculty

| | | & Tenure | N | lon-Tenure | Track | Non- |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----|------------|--------|------------------|
| | | rack | | | 0.4 | Tenure |
| | Female | %Female | All | Female | % | Track as |
| | | | | | Female | % All Females |
| Aii ti H Fi | 15 | 26.20/ | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | 6.3% |
| Agriculture and Home Economics | 3 | 26.3% 18.8% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Agronomy and Horticulture | | | - | | | |
| Animal and Range Science | 1 | 5.9% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Entomology, Plant Pathology and | 3 | 30.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Weed Science | | | | | | |
| Family and Consumer Science | 7 | 87.5% | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | 12.5% |
| Fishery and Wildlife Sciences | 1 | 16.7% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Arts and Sciences | 19 | 18.8% | 25 | 17 | 68.0% | 47.2% |
| Astronomy | 1 | 14.3% | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | 50.0% |
| Biology | 4 | 22.2% | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | 20.0% |
| Chemistry and Biochemistry | 1 | 5.6% | 4 | 2 | 50.0% | 66.7% |
| Computer Sciences | 2 | 18.2% | 2 | 2 | 100.0% | 50.0% |
| Geological Sciences | 2 | 33.3% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Mathematical Sciences | 8 | 29.6% | 15 | 11 | 73.3% | 57.9% |
| Physics | 1 | 7.1% | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Engineering | 6 | 8.1% | 5 | 1 | 20.0% | 14.2% |
| Electrical and Computer | 1 | 5.0% | 3 | 1 | 33.3% | 50.0% |
| Engineering | | | | | | |
| Chemical Engineering | 1 | 16.7% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Civil and Geological Engineering | 2 | 14.3% | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Engineering Technology | 2 | 16.7% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Industrial Engineering | 1 | 16.7% | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Survey Engineering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table 3C: Fall 2002 SBS Departmental Distribution of Female Faculty

| | Tenure | d and Ten | ure Track | Non-Tenure Track | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------------|--------|---------|--|
| | All | Female | %Female | All | Female | %Female | |
| Communications | 6 | 2 | 33.3% | 3 | 2 | 66.7% | |
| Criminal Justice | 9 | 4 | 44.4% | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Geography | 4 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Government | 8 | 2 | 25.0% | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Psychology | 13 | 6 | 46.2% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Sociology and Anthropology | 11 | 7 | 63.6% | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | |

Table 4A: NMSU STEM Faculty by Rank and Tenure Status, Fall 2002

| | Fen | nales | Ma | ales | |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Instructor, Non-Contract | 6 | 10.0% | 3 | 1.5% | |
| College Track, Non-Contract | 13 | 21.7% | 9 | 4.4% | |
| Assistant, Tenure-Track | 15 | 25.0% | 52 | 25.6% | |
| Assistant, Tenured | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 1.0% | |
| Associate, Tenure-Track | 1 | 1.7% | 3 | 1.5% | |
| Associate, Tenured | 12 | 20.0% | 63 | 31.0% | |
| Full, Tenured | 13 | 21.7% | 71 | 35.0% | |
| TOTAL | (| 50 | 203 | | |

Table 4B: NMSU SBS Faculty by Rank and Tenure Status, Fall 2002

| | Fen | nales | Ma | ales | |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Instructor, Non-Contract | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | |
| College Track, Non-Contract | 2 | 8.7% | 6 | 16.7% | |
| Assistant, Tenure-Track | 9 | 39.1% | 7 | 19.4% | |
| Assistant, Tenured | 3 | 13.0% | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Associate, Tenure-Track | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 2.8% | |
| Associate, Tenured | 6 | 26.1% | 10 | 27.8% | |
| Full, Tenured | 3 | 13.0% | 12 | 33.3% | |
| TOTAL | 2 | 23 | 36 | | |

Table 5: Faculty by Gender and Ethnicity, Number and Percent of Total within Tenured and Tenure-Track and Non-Tenure Track

| | | | Tenured | d and Ten | ure-Track | | | Non-T | Tenure Tr | ack | |
|------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
| | | Hispanic | Asian | Black | White | Not | Hispanic | Asian | Black | White | Not |
| | | | | | | Coded | | | | | Coded |
| STEM | Female | 4 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 |
| | | 1.7% | 2.6% | 0.0% | 12.5% | 0.9% | 6.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 48.3% | 6.4% |
| | Male | 13 | 21 | 1 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| | | 5.6% | 9.0% | 0.4% | 66.4 % | 0.9% | 0.0% | 3.2% | 3.2% | 25.8% | 6.4% |
| | Total | 17 | 27 | 1 | 183 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 4 |
| SBS | Female | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | | 3.9% | 2.0% | 0.0% | 33.3% | 2.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 25.0% | U |
| | Male | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | | 3.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 52.9% | 2.0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 0.0% | 37.5% | 12.5% |
| | Total | 4 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 |

Table 6A: NMSU STEM Departments Assistant Professor Cohorts 1995-2002

| Cohort Year | # In Co | # In Cohort | | Promoted | | Left Institution | | Not yet tenured | |
|----------------|---------|-------------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|---------|-----------------|--|
| | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | |
| 1995 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1996 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1997 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | |
| 1998 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | |
| 1999 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| 2000 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | |
| 2001 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | |
| 2002 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | |
| Total | 76 | 21 | 20 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 50 | 15 | |
| 1995-2002 | | | (26.3%) | (14.3%) | (7.9%) | (14.3%) | (65.8%) | (71.4%) | |

Notes:

Table 6B: NMSU SBS Departments Assistant Professor Cohorts 1995-2002

| Cohort | # In Co | ohort | Pron | oted | Left Institution | | Not ye | t tenured | |
|-----------|---------|-------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|-----------|--|
| Year | | | | | | | | | |
| | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | |
| 1995 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1997 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 1998 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 1999 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2000 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2001 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | |
| 2002 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | |
| Total | 13 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 8 | |
| 1995-2002 | | | (15.3%) | (15.3%) | (46.1%) | (23.0%) | (38.4%) | (61.5%) | |

¹One of the women who left had been promoted to a tenured associate professor position before she left. The other two left before promotion/tenure.

Table 7A: NMSU STEM Departments Associate Professor Cohorts 1995-2002

| Cohort Year | # In C | # In Cohort | | Promoted | | titution | Not ye | Not yet tenured | | |
|-------------|--------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------|-----------------|--|--|
| | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | | |
| 1995 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 1996 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 1997 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | |
| 1998 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 1999 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2000 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2001 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2002 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | |
| Total | 60 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | | |
| 1995-2002 | | | (16.7%)* | (37.5%) | (11.7%) | (31.2%) | (3.3%) | (0.0%) | | |

^{*} Percentages are within sex to show the 2002 status of faculty hired 1995-2002.

Table 7B: NMSU SBS Departments Associate Professor Cohorts 1995-2002

| Cohort Year | # In Co | hort | Promoted | | Left Institution | | Not yet tenured | |
|-------------|---------|------|----------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|
| | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 1995 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 10 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1995-2002 | | | (50.0%)* | (14.2%) | (0.0%) | (14.2%) | (14.2%) | (0.0%) |

^{*} Percentages are within sex to show the 2002 status of faculty hired 1995-2002.

Table 8: Tenured and Tenure Track Age, Time at NMSU, Experience and Time to Tenure

| | 5 | SBS Departi | nents | STEM Departments | | | |
|------------------|-------|-------------|------------|------------------|---------|------------|--|
| | Males | Females | Gender Gap | Males | Females | Gender Gap | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 46.9 | 41.6 | 5.3 | 46.4 | 44.3 | 2.1 | |
| Median | 49.5 | 42.0 | 7.5 | 46.0 | 43.0 | 3.0 | |
| Std. Dev. | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 8.7 | 7.1 | | |
| Minimum | 29.0 | 29.0 | | 28.0 | 33.0 | | |
| Maximum | 58.0 | 55.0 | | 70.0 | 61.0 | | |
| # of valid cases | 30 | 21 | | 191 | 41 | | |
| Time at NMSU | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 10.8 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 3.4 | |
| Median | 11.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | |
| Std. Dev. | 7.4 | 4.8 | | 8.8 | 5.7 | | |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Maximum | 27.0 | 19.0 | | 38.0 | 19.0 | | |
| # valid cases | 30 | 21 | | 191 | 41 | | |
| Years of | | | | | | | |
| Experience | 15.0 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 16.0 | 11.8 | 4.2 | |
| Mean | 14.5 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 4.0 | |
| Median | 8.5 | 6.7 | | 9.0 | 7.1 | | |
| Std. Dev. | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Minimum | 31.0 | 24.0 | | 40.0 | 27.0 | | |
| Maximum | 30 | 21 | | 191 | 41 | | |
| # valid cases | | | | | | | |
| Time to Tenure | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 4.0 | 5.2 | -1.2 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 0.1 | |
| Median | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0 | |
| Std. Dev. | 1.8 | 1.2 | | 1.5 | 2.1 | | |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 2.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Maximum | 6.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | 8.0 | | |
| # valid cases | 22 | 12 | | 136 | 25 | | |

Years of experience: Current year minus date of Ph.D. Gender Gap: Male minus Female.

Table 9: Tenure and Tenure Track Monthly Salary by Rank

| | SI | BS Departmen | its | STE | M Departmen | nts |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| | Males | Females | Gender | Males | Females | Gender |
| | | | Gap* | | | Gap |
| Monthly Salary | | | | | | |
| Mean | \$5,367.80 | \$4,734.42 | \$633.38 | \$6,124.97 | \$5,640.41 | \$484.56 |
| Median | \$5,247.40 | \$4,419.20 | \$828.20 | \$5,884.20 | \$5,530.00 | \$354.26 |
| Std. Dev. | \$1,228.02 | \$1,329.95 | | \$1,289.74 | \$841.31 | |
| Minimum | \$3,500.00 | \$3,525.00 | Ratio**: | \$3,899.80 | \$3,916.20 | Ratio: |
| Maximum | \$8,483.10 | \$9,111.30 | 0.842 | \$12,010.90 | \$7,450.60 | 0.939 |
| # valid cases | 30 | 21 | | 191 | 41 | |
| Monthly Salary: | | | | | | |
| Assistant Professors | | | | | | |
| Mean | \$4,256.93 | \$4,109.78 | \$147.15 | \$5,288.48 | \$5,209.15 | \$79.33 |
| Median | \$4,400.00 | \$4,008.30 | \$391.70 | \$4,985.20 | \$4,901.20 | \$84.00 |
| Std. Dev. | \$476.13 | \$5,12.37 | | \$844.52 | \$937.51 | |
| Minimum | \$3,500.00 | \$3,525.00 | Ratio: 0.911 | \$3,899.80 | \$3,916.20 | Ratio: |
| Maximum | \$4,812.70 | \$4,920.90 | | \$7,030.00 | \$7,000.00 | 0.983 |
| # valid cases | 7 | 12 | | 54 | 15 | |
| Monthly Salary: | | | | | | |
| Associate Professors | | | | | | |
| Mean | \$5,203.77 | \$4,625.16 | \$578.61 | \$5,855.20 | \$5,454.85 | \$400.35 |
| Median | \$5,363.80 | \$4,569.10 | \$794.70 | \$5,620.80 | \$5,324.10 | \$296.70 |
| Std. Dev. | \$964.62 | \$332.54 | | \$950.17 | \$470.99 | |
| Minimum | \$3,951.60 | \$4,323.50 | Ratio: | \$4,150.40 | \$4,814.20 | Ratio: |
| Maximum | \$6,376.40 | \$5,203.90 | 0.852 | \$8,617.70 | \$6,712.90 | 0.947 |
| # valid cases | 11 | 6 | | 66 | 13 | |
| Monthly Salary: | | | | | | |
| Full Professors | | | | | | |
| Mean | \$6,166.18 | \$7,451.55 | -\$1285.37 | \$7,011.96 | \$6,323.57 | \$688.35 |
| Median | \$6,029.60 | \$7,292.50 | -\$1262.90 | \$6,754.90 | \$6,293.80 | \$461.11 |
| Std. Dev. | \$1,224.16 | \$1,586.25 | | \$1,315.41 | \$580.30 | |
| Minimum | \$4,386.00 | \$5,950.80 | Ratio: | \$4,788.00 | \$5,494.80 | Ratio: |
| Maximum | \$8,483.10 | \$9,111.30 | 1.295 | \$12,010.90 | \$7,450.60 | 0.932 |
| # valid cases | 12 | 3 | | 71 | 13 | |

^{*}Gender Gap: Male minus Female.

^{**} Ratio: consistent with conventional reporting on pay gaps between men and women, the ratio of women's to men's median earnings was computed and reported. This ratio is interpreted as the amount the average woman earns for every dollar the average man earns.

Table 10: Non-Contract Age, Time at NMSU, Experience and Monthly Salary

| | S | BS Departme | ents | STEM Departments | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------------|------------|-----------|--|
| | Males | Females | Gender | Males | Females | Gender | |
| | | | Gap | | | Gap | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 49.3 | 57.0 | -7.7 | 42.3 | 41.5 | 0.8 | |
| Median | 52.0 | 57.0 | -5.0 | 41.5 | 40.0 | 1.5 | |
| Std. Dev. | 12.2 | 2.8 | | 10.0 | 7.9 | | |
| Minimum | 27.0 | 55.0 | | 27.0 | 32.0 | | |
| Maximum | 61.0 | 59.0 | | 64.0 | 60.0 | | |
| # valid cases | 6 | 2 | | 12 | 19 | | |
| Time at NMSU | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 6.0 | 12.0 | -6.0 | 3.8 | 5.0 | -1.2 | |
| Median | 2.0 | 12.0 | -10.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 5 | |
| Std. Dev. | 10.5 | 12.8 | | 6.8 | 7.1 | | |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 3.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Maximum | 27.0 | 21.0 | | 19.0 | 21.0 | | |
| # valid cases | 6 | 2 | | 12 | 19 | | |
| Years of Experience | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 10.2 | 21.5 | -11.3 | 8.6 | 11.4 | -2.8 | |
| Median | 7.0 | 21.5 | -14.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 | -1.0 | |
| Std. Dev. | 9.8 | 12.0 | | 6.4 | 8.3 | | |
| Minimum | 1.0 | 13.0 | | 2.0 | 4.0 | | |
| Maximum | 29.0 | 30.0 | | 21.0 | 35.0 | | |
| # valid cases | 6 | 2 | | 12 | 19 | | |
| Monthly Salary | | | | | | | |
| Mean | \$3,849.60 | \$2,948.27 | \$901.33 | \$3,769.87 | \$3,616.15 | \$153.72 | |
| Median | \$3,673.90 | \$2,948.30 | \$125.60 | \$3,200.00 | \$3,358.80 | -\$158.80 | |
| Std. Dev. | \$792.23 | \$60.03 | | \$1,188.81 | \$716.89 | | |
| Minimum | \$2,941.70 | \$2,905.80 | Ratio: | \$2,450.10 | \$2,617.10 | Ratio: | |
| Maximum | \$5,000.00 | \$2,990.70 | 0.802 | \$5,705.40 | \$5,162.10 | 1.049 | |
| # valid cases | 6 | 2 | | 12 | 19 | | |

^{*}Gender Gap: Male minus Female.

^{**} Ratio: consistent with conventional reporting on pay gaps between men and women, the ratio of women's to men's median earnings was computed and reported. This ratio is interpreted as the amount the average woman earns for every dollar the average man earns.

Table 11: NMSU Administrative Leadership Positions, Fall 2002

| | Total | Male | Female | %Female |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|--------|---------|
| Department Heads (STEM) | 19 | 17 | 2 | 11.7% |
| Associate Department Heads (STEM) | 7 | 6 | 1 | 16.6% |
| Assistant Department Heads (STEM) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Vice Presidents/Provosts | 5 | 3 | 2 | 40.0% |
| Vice Provosts | 3 | 1 | 2 | 66.6% |
| Deans | 8 | 5 | 21 | 25.0% |
| Associate Deans | 11 | 7 | 4 | 25.0% |

Note: ¹The two female deans were the Dean of the Graduate School and the Library Dean. All six academic college deans were men but national searches are in progress to fill three of these six positions.

Table 12: SBS and STEM Faculty Holding Regents' Professorships, 2002

| | Total | Men | Women |
|------------------|-------|-----|-------|
| SBS Departments | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| STEM Departments | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Non SBS/STEM | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Total | 6 | 5 | 1 |

Table 13: Gender Distribution of Tenure and Promotion Committees 1997-2003

| | College of Agriculture and Home Economics | | College of Arts and Sciences | | | College of Engineering | | | |
|-----------|---|--------|------------------------------|-------|--------|------------------------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Female | % Female | Total | Female | % Female | Total | Female | % Female |
| 1997-1998 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 | 0 | 0.0% | 6 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1998-1999 | 5 | 1 | 20.0% | 6 | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1999-2000 | 5 | 2 | 40.0% | 6 | 1 | 16.6% | 6 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 2000-2001 | 5 | 2 | 40.0% | 6 | 1 | 16.6% | 7 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 2001-2002 | 5 | 2 | 40.0% | 6 | 1 | 16.6% | 6 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 2002-2003 | 5 | 2 | 40.0% | 6 | 1 | 16.6% | 6 | 0 | 0.0% |

Table 14: Start-Up Packages Accepted by Newly Hired Tenure-Track Assistant Professors with 0 Years of Credit Towards Tenure, 1995-2002*

| | College of | | College of l | Engineering |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Arts and | Sciences | | |
| | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Moving Expenses | | | | |
| Mean | \$2,476.19 | \$2,100.00 | \$3,892.00 | \$3,400.00 |
| Minimum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maximum | \$6,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$6,000.00 | \$5,200.00 |
| Number of valid cases | 21 | 5 | 13 | 3 |
| Number unspecified | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Estimated Value of Other | | | | |
| Start-Up Expenses | | | | |
| Mean | \$59,937.76 | \$87,020.00 | \$40.933.00 | \$55,444.00 |
| Minimum | \$3,000.00 | \$5,300.00 | \$3,000.00 | \$5,200.00 |
| Maximum | \$150,000.00 | \$130,000.00 | \$118,556.00 | \$133,889.00 |
| Number of valid cases | 21 | 5 | 13 | 3 |
| Number unspecified | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Total Start-Up Package | | | | |
| Value | | | | |
| Mean | \$62,413.95 | \$89,120.00 | \$36,420.00 | \$58,844.00 |
| Number Valid Cases | 21 | 5 | 16 | 3 |
| Number unspecified | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starting Annual Salary (all | | | | |
| are for 9 months) | | | | |
| Mean | \$45,516.67 | \$43,740.00 | \$53,123.00 | \$57,667.00 |
| Minimum | \$36,000.00 | \$38,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$52,000.00 |
| Maximum | \$70,000.00 | \$48,000.00 | \$71,000.00 | \$65,000.00 |
| Number Valid Cases | 21 | 5 | 17 | 3 |
| Number unspecified | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

^{*} Letters of offer were not provided by the College of Agriculture and Home Economics. A letter sent to the PI from the Dean of that college indicated that terms of start-up are not customarily included in letters of offer but that the average start-up package for each new faculty member was \$25,000.

Table 15: Tenure Status and Rank of Newly Hired Tenure and Tenure-Track Faculty, Accepted Offers, 1995-2002

| | College of | | College of I | Engineering |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Arts and | Sciences | | |
| | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Rank | | | | |
| College Assistant | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assistant Professor | 25 | 5 | 20 | 3 |
| Associate Professor | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Full Professor | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Years Credit Towards Tenure | | | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | 21 | 5 | 17 | 3 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 5.2 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 0.6 |
| Total Start-Up Package Value | | | | |
| Mean | | | | |
| Number Valid Cases | \$62,392.04 | \$66,728.57 | \$43,918.62 | \$62,244.33 |
| Number unspecified | 26 | 6 | 21 | 3 |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Starting Annual Salary (all are | | | | |
| for 9 months) | | | | |
| Mean | \$45,148.08 | \$46,528.57 | \$55,095.00 | \$57,667.00 |
| Minimum | \$36,000.00 | \$38,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$52,000.00 |
| Maximum | \$70,000.00 | \$55,000.00 | \$71,000.00 | \$65,000.00 |
| Number Valid Cases | 26 | 7 | 22 | 3 |
| Number unspecified | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 16: Frequency of Newly Hired Faculty by Sex, Accepted Offers, 1995-2002*

| | Males | Females | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Chemical Engineering | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Civil and Geological Engineering | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Electrical and Computer Engineering | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Engineering Technology | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Industrial Engineering | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Astronomy | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Biology | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Chemistry and Biochemistry | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Computer Science | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Geological Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Mathematical Sciences | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Physics | 7 | 1 | 8 |

^{*} Letters of offer were not provided by the College of Agriculture and Home Economics. A letter sent to the PI from the Dean of that college indicated that terms of start-up are not customarily included in letters of offer but that the average start-up package for each new faculty member was \$25,000.